An Examination of Ellen White's 1SM Statement Regarding The DAILY.

Note: You will see 1). That EG White had no instruction on THE USE OF HER WRITINGS. 2). That the view of paganism as the daily was contrary to established teachings of the Christian world in the 1840's. Meaning that this established view by the PIONEERS of the SDA Church is OUR OLD view. R.L. Conradi,, and A.G. Daniels, trying to introduce Christ being the daily in 1901's. 3). That EG White did receive instruction that her writings were to be USED to CORRECT SPECIOUS ERRORS.

I have words to speak to my brethren east and west, north and south. I request that my writings shall not be used as the leading argument to settle questions over which there is now so much controversy. I entreat of Elders H, I, J, and others of our leading brethren, that they make no reference to my writings to sustain their views of "the daily." {1SM 164.1} {PH020 5.2. July 31, 1910.}

It has been presented to me that this is not a subject of vital importance. I am instructed that our brethren are making a mistake in magnifying the importance of the difference in the views that are held. I cannot consent that any of my writings shall be taken as settling this matter. The true meaning of "the daily" is not to be made a test question. {1SM 164.2}

I now ask that my ministering brethren shall not make use of my writings in their arguments regarding this question ["the daily"]; for I have had no instruction on the point under discussion, and I see no need for the controversy. Regarding this matter under present conditions, silence is eloquence. {1SM 164.3}

The enemy of our work is pleased when a subject of minor importance can be used to divert the minds of our brethren from the great questions that should be the burden of our message. As this is not a test question, I entreat of my brethren that they shall not allow the enemy to triumph by having it treated as such. {PH020 6.1}

The work that the Lord has given us at this time, is to present to the people the true light in regard to the testing questions of obedience and salvation,—the commandments of God and the testimony of Jesus Christ. {PH020 6.2}

In some of our important books that have been in print for years, and which have brought many to a knowledge of the truth, there may be found matters of minor importance that call for careful study and correction. Let such matters be considered by those regularly appointed to have the oversight of our publications. Let not these brethren, nor our canvassers, nor our ministers magnify these matters in such a way as to lessen the influence of these good, soul-saving books. Should we take up the work of discrediting our literature, we would place weapons in the hands of those who have departed from the faith, and confuse the minds of those who have newly embraced the message. The less that is done unnecessarily to change our publications, the better it will be. {PH020 6.3} July 31, 1910.

I have words to speak to . . . all who have been active in urging their views in regard to the meaning of "the daily" of Daniel 8. This is not to be made a test question, and the agitation that has resulted from its being treated as such has been very unfortunate. Confusion has resulted, and the minds of some of our brethren have been diverted from the
thoughtful consideration that should have been given to the work that the Lord has directed should be done at this time in our cities. This has been pleasing to the great enemy of our work. \{ISM 167.1\}

The light given me is that nothing should be done to increase the agitation upon this question. Let it not be brought into our discourses, and dwelt upon as a matter of great importance. We have a great work before us, and we have not an hour to lose from the essential work to be done. Let us confine our public efforts to the presentation of the important lines of truth on which we are united, and on which we have clear light. \{ISM 167.2\}

Testimonies to Ministers 114-115.

Daniel stood in his lot to bear his testimony which was sealed until the time of the end, when the first angel's message should be proclaimed to our world. These matters are of infinite importance in these last days; but while "many shall be purified, and made white, and tried," "the wicked shall do wickedly: and none of the wicked shall understand." How true this is! Sin is the transgression of the law of God; and those who will not accept the light in regard to the law of God will not understand the proclamation of the first, second, and third angel's messages. The book of Daniel is unsealed in the revelation to John, and carries us forward to the last scenes of this earth's history.

1). What were the different views of the Daily?
   a. The Old view of SDA's was paganism, two desolating powers. The New view being Christ's daily or continual Sacrifice in the Holy place.
2). Does EGW state what the correct view was?
   a. She did have clear light on the matter, See Early Writings pg. 74.2 below. Testimonies to Ministers pg. 115.1-3. 7 BC 971.4.
   b. Being how the word "sacrifice is supplied""and does not belong to the texts," then neither does the thought of a sacrifice belong to the text! To maintain this then is a forced application and wrests the scripture from its true meaning!!
   c. Did she receive more instruction on the use of Her writings? "This (manuscripts and testimonies. 8T206.3) is now to come before the people, because it has been given to correct specious errors and to specify what is truth. The Lord has revealed many things pointing out the truth, thus saying, "This is the way, walk ye in it.""--Letter 127, 1910. November 22, 1910. \{3SM 32.2\}
   d. Therefore it was the use of her writings to which she had "no instruction on the point under discussion," and it was A.G. Daniel's and others who, with the new view were presenting "a subject of minor importance,""not a subject of vital importance,""not to be made a test question, not be brought into our discourses."! Why? There are many other places in the SCRIPTURES that illustrate the ministry of Christ!!! Was He crucified more than once according to the type-Daily, continually? NO!
If the daily is none salvational as SDA pastor's retort, when opposition arises to them teaching the Christian worlds view of the daily, then: these pastors are preaching none salvation things.

And IF, the daily is Christ's ministry; then we would have PASTORS saying EG White said the Gospel is none salvational. But notice:

Heb. 7:27 (Christ) Who needeth **not daily**, as those high priests, to offer up sacrifice, first for his own sins, and then for the people's: for **this he did once**, when he offered up himself. 9:28 So Christ was **once** offered to bear the sins of many; and unto them that look for him shall he appear the second time without sin unto salvation. 10:10 By the which will we are sanctified through the offering of the body of Jesus Christ **once for all**.

3). This incorrect interpretation of EWG'S statement 1SM above applied to both views would be that:

a. Neither view can use EGW for guidance or clarity, for: "my writings shall not be used as the leading argument to **settle questions,**""I cannot consent that any of my writings shall be taken as **settling this matter,**""I now ask that my ministering brethren shall **not make use of** my writings in their arguments regarding this question." Absurd!

b. If Daniel's view were correct the gospel then (Christ's Daily ministry, the GOSPEL) we are to "Let it not be brought into our discourses," as it would be a "matter minor importance" and is "not a subject of vital importance." Absurd!

c. In "some of our important books" "matters of minor importance" that call "for careful study and correction." Yet as the matter is of minor importance, THEN WHY MAKE CORRECTIONS, in light of the position taken by those who **MISUSE** the above quote on the DAILY, for these very books have "brought many to a knowledge of the truth." Absurd!

She said:--

Then I saw in relation to the "daily" (Daniel 8:12) that the word "sacrifice" was supplied by man's wisdom, and **does not belong to the text**, and that the **Lord gave the correct view** of it to those who gave the judgment hour cry. When union existed, before 1844, nearly all were united on the correct view of the "daily"; but in the confusion **since 1844, other views have been embraced,** and **darkness and confusion** have followed. **Time has not been a test** since 1844, and it will never again be a test. {EW 74.2} See

The change made in the reckoning of the seventy weeks, and the close of the 2300 days, made it necessary, of course, for **Marsh to make other changes.** So he changes the date of the conversion of Clovis who became "the first son of the Catholic church," from 508 to 511, so as to bring the termination of the 1290 days down to 1847, to agree with his 1847 time. And the taking away of the dominion of the papacy he placed in 1802, when Pius VII was installed as pope. Marsh held that then the pope was so reduced in his former grandeur that it was the taking away of his dominion. Of course, he had not time to note that he was set up when the third of the three horns were plucked up, in 538. {GSAM J.N.L. 1905.
4). But if the Daily is Christ's ministry as Desmond Ford and others before him taught, it destroys 7th Day Adventism thoroughly. Because, as they contest, Christ's ministry was "taken away" interrupted by human mediation (catholic priest 538 A.D.), it then MUST CONTINUE for its duration from where it left off and finish in the FIRST apartment of the Heavenly sanctuary, some time after the man of sin was put down 1798; not ending at 1844. Thus Fords theology MOVES the event of 1844 off into some future time.

I have much written in the diary [WHILE MRS. WHITE KEPT FROM TIME TO TIME A DAILY DIARY OF HER EXPERIENCE, YET THIS IS NOT WHAT SHE REFERRED TO PRIMARILY IN USING THE TERM "DIARY." HER WRITING WAS OFTEN DONE IN RULED BLANK BOOKS, MORE THAN A SCORE OF WHICH ARE NOW IN THE WHITE ESTATE VAULT, AND MANY OF THE MANUSCRIPTS THAT APPEAR IN THE FILE ARE FOUND TO HAVE BEEN WRITTEN FIRST IN THESE BOOKS. SOME MANUSCRIPTS ON FILE BEAR THE GENERAL HEADING "DIARY," USED IN THIS PARTICULAR SENSE. IT WILL BE REMEMBERED THAT THIS TERM IS USED BY HER IN THE TESTIMONIES IN REFERRING TO HER WRITINGS IN MANUSCRIPT FORM. (SEE TESTIMONY, VOL. 8, P. 206, WHERE SHE SAYS, "IN MY DIARY I FIND THE FOLLOWING WRITTEN ONE YEAR AGO," AND IT IS PLAIN FROM WHAT FOLLOWS THAT SHE IS REFERRING TO TESTIMONY MATTER.)] I have kept in all my journeys that should come before the people if essential, even if I did not write another line. I want that which is deemed worthy to appear, for the Lord has given me much light that I want the people to have; for there is instruction that the Lord has given me for His people. It is light that they should have, line upon line, precept upon precept, here a little and there a little. This is now to come before the people, because it has been given to correct specious errors and to specify what is truth. The Lord has revealed many things pointing out the truth, thus saying, "This is the way, walk ye in it."--

Letter 127, 1910. {SM 32.2}

It is a great and grand thing to have an eye single to the glory of God. Self must not come into our serving. We need now to present the truth in its important bearings. We are to walk every step understandingly. Blessed light from the Word of God is to come to the people. No new and strange thing is to be introduced now, unless it is proved by much diligent investigation and most earnest prayer. Blessed light from the Word of God is ours to receive and in assurance give to people.--Letter 127, 1910. (Written to W. C. White from Sanitarium, California, November 22, 1910.)

If the preconceived opinions or particular ideas of some are crossed in being reproved by testimonies, they have a burden at once to make plain their position to discriminate between the testimonies, defining what is Sister White's human judgment, and what is the word of the Lord. Everything that sustains their cherished ideas is divine, and the testimonies to correct their errors are human--Sister White's opinions. They make of none effect the counsel of God by their tradition.--Manuscript 16, 1889. {SM 68.2}